# An Exploration of Transmission Power Optimization Method for Concurrently Communicating Two Access-Points in Wireless Local-Area

Network

Hendy Briantoro Nobuo Funabiki Md Manowarul Islam Rahardhita Widyatra Sudibyo Kwenga Ismael Munene Minoru Kuribayashi

Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University

# Abstract

Nowadays, IEEE 802.11n wireless local-area network (WLAN) has become popular around the world due to advantages in capacity, reachability, and affordability. In WLAN, any host or terminal device is connected with an *access-point* (AP) using wireless signal. Thus, the *AP* transmission power should be optimized for improving the performance in WLAN, considering the capacity and interference. Previously, we proposed an AP transmission power optimization method for a single AP considering the link capacity to a host. In this paper, we explore an AP transmission power optimization method for two concurrently communing APs in WLAN. Unlike the single AP case, it needs to consider the interference between two APs, too. As a simple method, either the maximum or minimum power is selected for each AP such that it maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) among the four combinations. The accuracy of this proposal is investigated through extensive experiments using Raspberry Pi APs in eight network fields with various host locations. The results show that it provides the best performance except for three cases among 144 cases.

# 1 Introduction

Nowadays, *IEEE 802.11n wireless local-area network (WLAN)* has been widely used around the world, including homes, schools, and offices, due to advantages in capacity, reachability, and affordability. Through WLAN, people can connect laptops, printers, and smartphones with the Internet without cables.

In WLAN, any host or terminal device is connected with an *access-point* (AP) using wireless signal. Thus, the *AP transmission power* should be optimized for improving the performance in WLAN, considering the capacity and interference. As the power increases, the link capacity increases. However, the interference to other devices also increases, which gives negative impacts to them [1]. Previously, we proposed an AP transmission power optimization method for a single AP, considering only the link capacity to a host [2].

In this paper, we explore an *AP transmission power* optimization method for two concurrently communing APs in WLAN. Unlike the previous single AP case, this case needs to consider the interference between two APs at the same time. Then, a simple method is presented here, where either the maximum or minimum available transmission power is selected for each AP such that the selection can maximize the *signalto-noise ratio* (*SNR*) at the APs among the four possible combinations.

To investigate the accuracy of this method, we conducted extensive experiments using *Raspberry Pi* APs in eight network topologies with various host locations in two buildings at Okayama University. The results confirm that the proposed method provides the best throughput performance except for three cases among the 144 cases of different AP locations and host locations in them. In future works, we will analyze the reasons of the three error cases and study improvements of the proposal.

# 2 Transmission Power Optimization Method

In this section, we present our preliminary work of the *AP transmission power optimization method* for two concurrently communing APs in WLAN.

# 2.1 Idea

Normally, any transmission power in the range of the available maximum and minimum one can be selected for an AP device including the one in this paper. To avoid the complexity, the proposed method only selects either the maximum or minimum power, because our previous study shows either the maximum or minimum power exhibits the best performance in any experiment case [3]. Then, the task becomes the selection of one combination from the four possible ones such that the total throughput performance is highest. As the selection index, we adopt the *signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)* at the APs. SNR can consider the interference and the link capacity at the same time, where the signal strength is closely related with the capacity and the noise is to the interference. Besides, SNR at an AP can be easily measured using Linux commands at *Raspberry Pi*.

# 2.2 Transmission Power Optimization Procedure

Here, assuming the situation where  $AP_1$  is communicating with  $H_1$ ,  $AP_2$  is communicating with  $H_2$ , and these links are within the interfered distance, the procedure of the AP transmission power optimization method is presented as the following steps:

- 1. Assign the transmission power for each AP by selecting one of the following four combinations one by one:
  - $P_{AP1} = P_{min}$  and  $P_{AP2} = P_{min}$ .
  - $P_{AP1} = P_{min}$  and  $P_{AP2} = P_{max}$ .
  - $P_{AP1} = P_{max}$  and  $P_{AP2} = P_{min}$ .
  - $P_{AP1} = P_{max}$  and  $P_{AP2} = P_{max}$ .

where  $P_{AP1}$  and  $P_{AP2}$  represent the transmission power of AP1 and AP2 respectively, and  $P_{min} = 0dBm$  and  $P_{max} = 20dBm$  are used for *Raspberry Pi* with *TP-Link Wi-Fi adapter*.

- 2. Measure the following receiving signal strength (RSS) at an AP from other AP and a host for this combination:
  - $RSS_{AP1,AP2}$ : RSS at AP2 of the signal from AP1
  - $RSS_{AP2,AP1}$ : RSS at AP1 of the signal from AP2
  - $RSS_{H1,AP1}$ : RSS at AP1 of the signal from H1
  - $RSS_{H2,AP1}$ : RSS at AP1 of the signal from H2
  - $RSS_{H1,AP2}$ : RSS at AP2 of the signal from H1
  - $RSS_{H2,AP2}$ : RSS at AP2 of the signal from H2

 $RSS_{H1,AP1}$  and  $RSS_{H2,AP2}$  are necessary signals to transmit data, and the others become noises to them.

3. Calculate SNR for the link between AP1 and H1 by Eq. (1):

$$SNR_{AP1,H1} = \frac{RSS_{H1,AP1}}{(RSS_{H1,AP2} + RSS_{H2,AP1} + RSS_{AP2,AP1})}$$
(1)

4. Calculate SNR for the link between AP2 and H2 by Eq. (2):  $SNR_{AP2,H2} = \frac{RSS_{H2,AP2}}{RSS_{H2,AP2}}$ 

$$NR_{AP2,H2} = \frac{1}{(RSS_{H2,AP1} + RSS_{H1,AP2} + RSS_{AP1,AP2})}$$
(2)

5. Calculate the average SNR by Eq. (3):  $aveSNR = \frac{1}{2}(SNR_{AP1,H1} + SNR_{AP2,H2}) \qquad (3)$  6. Select the best combination that has the largest aveSNR after applying the four combinations, and setup  $P_{AP1}$  and  $P_{AP2}$  to the corresponding power.

#### 3 Evaluation of Algorithm

In this section, we evaluate the proposed method through extensive experiments in two buildings.

## 3.1 Experiment Setup

In our experiments, eight network topologies are considered using two buildings, namely Engineering Building #2 and Graduate School Building at Okayama University. We use two Raspberry Pi 3 B+ with TP-Link TL-WN722N wireless NIC adapter for APs and four laptop PCs for two servers and two hosts. The channel bonding (CB) at 2.4GHz is used, where AP1 is assigned channels 1+5 and AP2 is channels 9+13 as the least interfered ones. iperf 2.0.5 is used to generate TCP traffics and measure the throughput. At the same time, the RSS is measured using iw commands.

#### 3.1.1 First Topology

Figure 1 shows the first topology in Engineering Building #2, where D307 room of size  $7m \times 6m$ , and corridor of size  $30m \times 2.3m$  are used. The triangle represents the AP and the circle does the host. AP1 is located in front of D307 and H1 is moved from H1-1 to H1-5 in the corridor. AP2 and H2 are located in D307 with the 3m distance. The two links are concurrently communicating.



Table 1 shows the average SNR and the total throughputs for the four combinations of transmission powers. The results show that the proposed method can select the best combination that provides the highest total throughput except for H1-2. This error may be caused by the fluctuation of RSS. From the throughput results, the best transmission power for AP1 is the maximum and the one for AP2 is the minimum at any H1 location. It is noted that AP2 and H2 are located in the same room. On the other hand, the average SNR becomes largest only at H1-2 when the both powers are minimum.

Table	1.	Regulte	in	first	topology
		ILCSUILS.		111.51	

	rasie if festales in mot topology.											
			ave	rage \$	SNR		total throughput (Mbps)					
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(p	ropos	al)	(measurement)							
		H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	
	min,min	44.8	45.7	33.9	33.8	35.2	96	86.3	76.3	66.6	58.6	
	min,max	6.3	23.4	34	35.9	35.3	83.3	73.6	65	56.4	55.9	
	max,min	214	43.6	50	39.3	35.7	96.9	90.6	84.6	81.6	78	
	max,max	18.8	12.9	10.6	9.7	15.1	81.3	73	70.1	64.3	59.4	

#### 3.1.2 Second Topology

Figure 2 illustrates the second topology. AP2 and H2 are located in D307 and D306 separated by a wall, with the 8m distance. The results in Table 2 confirm that the proposal can select the best combination at any H1 location that uses the maximum for both APs.



Figure 2: Second topology.

Ta	Table 2: Results in second topology.											
		ave	rage S	SNR		total throughput (Mbps)						
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(p	ropos	$_{\rm sal})$		(measurement)						
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5		
min,min	21.9	2.3	0.7	0.1	0.08	59.4	51.8	50	48.2	32.6		
min,max	2.5	7.5	19.9	22.1	14.2	71.7	62.5	64.8	61.4	42		
max,min	73.3	75.3	38.3	31.5	25.1	63.1	59.2	54.6	50.3	34.7		
max,max	73.5	85.8	39.6	33.7	25.9	79.4	71.2	67.1	65.4	47.1		

#### 3.1.3 Third Topology

Figure 3 illustrates the third topology. AP2 and H2 are located in D307 again, but AP1 is located in front of D301 that is the most distant from D307 in the corridor. The results in Table 3 confirm that the proposal can select the best power combination at any H1 location, although it is changed by the location.

In this topology, as the distance between the two APs is large, the minimum power for the APs can remove the interference. However, the best power for AP1 becomes the maximum when H1 is located at H1-3 or farther ones, as  $RSS_{H1,AP1}$  becomes smaller there.



Figure 3: Third topology.

Table 3: Results in third topology.											
		ave	rage \$	SNR		tota	total throughput (Mbps)				
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$	P <sub>AP2</sub> (proposal)					(measurement)					
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	
min,min	4806	1449	369	103	33.7	119	116	98	78	62	
min,max	510	207	75.9	7.9	2.4	89	81.7	77.7	59.1	54.2	
max,min	3258	966	489	249	48.8	109	107	105	97	83	
max,max	1065	299	110	12.3	2.8	86	83.5	82	77.7	72.9	

#### 3.1.4 Fourth Topology

Figure 4 illustrates the fourth topology. As in the third topology, AP1 is located in front of D301, but AP2 and H2 are located in different rooms. The results in Table 4 confirm that the proposal can select the best power combination at any H1 location, where the power for AP2 is always the maximum because of the wall between AP2 and H2.



Figure 4: Fourth topology.

Table	4:	Results	in	fourth	topology
TOUTO	<b>.</b> .	TUCUUTUU	***	TOUTUIT	topoidg,

							-	00			
		ave	rage S	SNR		total throughput (Mbps)					
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(p	ropos	al)		(measurement)					
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-5	
min,min	217	18.7	1.3	0.2	0.02	64.7	47.1	32.7	30.9	27.7	
min,max	223	36.6	24.7	12.3	3.8	89.2	81.6	64.7	62.2	59.6	
max,min	97.7	28.8	24.9	12.1	5.3	63.4	45	39.7	36.8	31.1	
max,max	98.9	29	25.5	13.2	5.4	80.1	75.9	70.4	66.3	64.1	

## 3.1.5 Fifth Topology

Figure 5 shows the fifth topology in Graduate School Building. AP1 and AP2 are located at distance positions, and AP2 and H2 are located in the same room, which is the similar situation to the third topology. H1 is moved from H1-1 to H1-4. The results in Table 5 confirm that the proposed method can select the best combination except for H1-2. Again, this error may be caused by the fluctuation of RSS.



#### 3.1.6 Sixth Topology

Figure 6 shows the sixth topology. AP2 and H2 are located at distance positions. The results in Table 6 confirm that the proposed method can select the best combination for any H1 location. In this topology, the best power for AP1 is the minimum except H1-4, where there are three walls between them. For AP2, the best power is the maximum, because H2 is distant.



Tur	10 0	. 100	Juros	III D	17011	opo	1053.		
	a	verag	e SNI	R	total throughput(Mbps)				
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(prop	oosal)		(measurement)				
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	
min,min	0.76	0.02	0.01	0.01	40.77	27.6	24.04	18.67	
$_{\min,\max}$	1.61	0.68	0.56	0.07	52.9	47.1	35.44	20.21	
max,min	0.91	0.05	0.03	0.03	38.07	24.62	22.34	22.03	

max,max 0.94 0.08 0.06 0.08 48.73 46 33.93

Table 6. Results in sixth topology

#### 3.1.7Seventh Topology

P

Figure 7 shows the seventh topology. AP1 and AP2are closely located, separated by one wall. AP2 and H2 are also closely located in the corridor. The results in Table 7 confirm that the proposed method can select the best combination for any H1 location. The best power for AP1 is the maximum except H1-1 where they are located in the same room. The best power for AP2 is the minimum.



Figure 7: Seventh topology.

Table 7: Results in seventh topology.										
	a	verag	e SNI	R	total throughput(Mbps)					
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(proposal)				(measurement)				
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4		
min,min	2.17	0.27	0.38	0.39	70.5	60.26	53.03	53.6		
min,max	1.04	1.14	1.32	0.81	65.4	56.13	55.3	49.47		
max,min	1.62	1.28	1.35	0.86	63.6	63	58.9	56.2		
max,max	0.49	0.51	0.55	0.56	59.52	58.2	56.54	52.8		

#### 3.1.8 Eighth Topology

Figure 8 shows the eighth topology. Again, AP1 and AP2 are closely located, separated by one wall. But, AP2 and H2 are located with a long distance, separated by one wall. The results in Table 8 confirm that the proposed method can select the best combination except for H1-2. Again, this error may be caused by the fluctuation of RSS. The best power for AP1 is the minimum at H1-1 and H1-2, and the maximum at other locations. The best power for AP2 is the maximum.



Figure 8: Eighth Topology.

In this topology, the best transmission power of AP1 is minimum value when H1 positions are H1-1

and H1-2 and maximum value for other H1 positions. For AP2, the best transmission power is maximum value because the distance between AP2 and H2 is far.

Table 8: Results of Eighth Topology

	a	verag	e SNI	3	total throughput(Mbps)					
$P_{AP1}, P_{AP2}$		(prop	oosal)		(measurement)					
	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4	H1-1	H1-2	H1-3	H1-4		
min,min	0.61	0.04	0.02	0.01	53.9	48.6	33.3	29.47		
min,max	1.04	0.57	0.57	0.38	68.72	55.4	40.9	38.12		
max,min	0.98	0.54	0.61	0.49	49.7	41.82	40.7	34.05		
max,max	0.35	0.59	0.62	0.62	53.8	48	43.43	41.41		

#### 3.2 Evaluation Summary

The above experiment results in the eight network topologies show that the proposed method selected wrong transmission power combinations in only three cases among 144 cases of different AP locations and host locations in them. Therefore, the accuracy for selecting the correct transmission powers by the proposal is 97.92% in our experiments.

#### 4 Conclusion

This paper explored the AP transmission power optimization method for two concurrently communing APs in WLAN. The experiment results using Raspberry Pi APs in eight network topologies confirmed that the proposed method provides the best throughput performance except for three cases among the 144 cases of different AP and host locations. In future works, we will analyze the reasons of the error cases, investigate extensions of the proposal to deal with the dynamic nature of a host, concurrently communing multiple hosts with an AP, and three or more APs in a field, and will evaluate the proposal in various topologies.

## References

- [1] D. Qiao, S. Choi, and K. G. Shin, "Interference analysis and transmit power control in IEEE 802.11a/h wireless LANs," IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1007-1020, Oct. 2007.
- [2] M. M. Islam, N. Funabiki, M. Kuribayashi, M. Saha, K. I. Munene, R. W. Sudibyo, and W.-C. Kao, "A proposal of transmission power minimization extension in active access-point configuration algorithm for elastic wireless local-area network system," Int. J. Comput. Soft. Eng., vol. 4. Feb. 2019.
- [3] H. Briantoro, N. Funabiki, M. M. Islam, R. W. Sudibyo, K. I. Munene, and M. Kuribayashi, "An investigation of transmission power optimization for performance improvement at concurrent communications of multiple accesspoints in wireless local-area network," in Proc. IEICE Gen. Conf., pp. S-66-67, March 2019.